

Application/Control Number: 09/699,495
Art Unit: 2645

Atty. Docket No. 112233-CON-2

CLAIMS

Please replace all previous versions of the claims with the following claim set:

Listing of Claims:

1. (currently amended) A automated task classification system that operates on a task objective of a user, comprising:

a meaningful phrase generator that generates a plurality of meaningful phrases from verbal input and non-verbal input speech, each of the meaningful phrases being generated based on one of a predetermined set of the task objectives;

a recognizer that recognizes at least one of the generated meaningful phrases in an input communication of the user; and

a task classifier that makes a classification decision in response to the recognized meaningful phrases relating to one of the set of predetermined task objectives.

2. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the meaningful phrases are expressed in a multimodal form.

3. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 2, wherein the multimodal form includes inputs from at least one channel.

4. (cancelled)

5. (cancelled)

6. (cancelled)

7. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the meaningful phrases in the user's input communication received by the recognizer are derived from the user's actions.

8. (cancelled)

9. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, further comprising a dialog module that enters into a dialog with the user to obtain a feedback response from the user.

Application/Control Number: 09/699,495
Art Unit: 2645

Atty. Docket No. 112233-CON-2

10. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 9, wherein the dialog module prompts the user to provide a feedback response that includes additional information with respect to the user's initial input communication.

11. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 9, wherein the dialog module prompts the user to provide a feedback response that includes confirmation with respect to at least one of the set of task objectives determined in the classification decision.

12. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the task classifier routes the input communication based on the classification decision.

13. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 12, wherein the task objective is performed after the input communication is routed by the task classifier.

14. (cancelled)

15. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the system is used for customer care purposes.

16. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the classification decisions and corresponding user input communications are collected by the system for automated learning purposes.

17. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the relationship between the generated meaningful phrases and the predetermined set of task objectives includes a measure of usefulness of a one of the meaningful phrases to a specified one of the predetermined task objectives.

18. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 17, wherein the usefulness measure is a salience measure.

19. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 18, wherein the salience measure is represented as a conditional probability of the task objective being requested given an appearance of the meaningful phrase in the input communication, the

Application/Control Number: 09/699,495
Art Unit: 2645

Atty. Docket No. 112233-CON-2

conditional probability being a highest value in a distribution of the conditional probabilities over the set of predetermined task objectives.

20. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 18, wherein each of the plurality of generated meaningful phrases has a salience measure exceeding a predetermined threshold.

21. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the relationship between the generated meaningful phrases and the predetermined set of task objectives includes a measure of commonality within a language of the meaningful phrases.

22. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 21, wherein the commonality measure is a mutual information measure.

23. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 22, wherein each of the plurality of generated meaningful phrases has a mutual information measure exceeding a predetermined threshold.

24. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the task classifier makes the classification decision using a confidence function.

25. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the input communication from the user represents a request for at least one of the set of predetermined task objectives.

26. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the input communication is responsive to a query of a form "How may I help you?".

27. (currently amended) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein each of the verbal input and non-verbal input ~~speech~~ are directed to one of the set of predetermined task objectives and each of the verbal input and non-verbal input ~~speech~~ is labeled with the one task objective to which it is directed.

28. (currently amended) An automated routing system that automatically routes a user's request based on an automated task classification decision, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/699,495
Art Unit: 2645

Atty. Docket No. 112233-CON-2

a meaningful phrase generator that generates a plurality of meaningful phrases from verbal input and non-verbal input speech, each of the meaningful phrases being generated based on one of a predetermined set of task objectives;

a recognizer that recognizes at least one of the generated meaningful phrases in the user's request;

a task classifier that makes a classification decision in response to the recognized meaningful phrases relating to one of the set of predetermined task objectives; and

a task router that routes the user's request in order to perform at least one of the task objectives based on the classification decision.

29. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the meaningful phrases are expressed in multimodal form.

30. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 29, wherein the multimodal form includes inputs from at least one channel.

31. (cancelled)

32. (cancelled)

33. (cancelled).

34. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the meaningful phrases in the user's input communication received by the recognizer are derived from the user's actions.

35. (cancelled)

36. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, further comprising a dialog module that enters into a dialog with the user to obtain a feedback response from the user.

37. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 36, wherein the dialog module prompts the user to provide a feedback response that includes additional information with respect to the user's request.

Application/Control Number: 09/699,495
Art Unit: 2645

Atty. Docket No. 112233-CON-2

38. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 36, wherein the dialog module prompts the user to provide a feedback response that includes confirmation with respect to at least one of the set of task objectives determined in the classification decision.

39. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 36, wherein if the task classifier cannot make a classification decision after dialog is conducted with the user, the router routes the user's request to a human for assistance.

40. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 39, wherein the task objective is performed after the user's request is routed.

41. (cancelled)

42. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the system is used for customer care purposes.

43. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the classification decisions and corresponding user requests are collected by the system for automated learning purposes.

44. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the relationship between the generated meaningful phrases and the predetermined set of task objectives includes a measure of usefulness of a one of the meaningful phrases to a specified one of the predetermined task objectives.

45. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 44, wherein the usefulness measure is a salience measure.

46. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 45, wherein the salience measure is represented as a conditional probability of the task objective being requested given an appearance of the meaningful phrase in the user's request, the conditional probability being a highest value in a distribution of the conditional probabilities over the set of predetermined task objectives.

Application/Control Number: 09/699,495
Art Unit: 2645

Atty. Docket No. 112233-CON-2

47. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 45, wherein each of the plurality of generated meaningful phrases has a salience measure exceeding a predetermined threshold.

48. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the relationship between the generated meaningful phrases and the predetermined set of task objectives includes a measure of commonality with a language of the meaningful phrases.

49. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 48, wherein the commonality measure is a mutual information measure.

50. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 49, wherein each of the plurality of generated meaningful phrases has a mutual information measure exceeding a predetermined threshold.

51. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the task classifier makes the classification decision using a confidence function.

52. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the user's request represents a request for at least one of the set of predetermined task objectives.

53. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the user's request is responsive to a query of a form "How may I help you?"

54. (currently amended) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein each of the verbal user input and non-verbal user input speech are directed to one of the set of predetermined task objectives and each of the verbal input and non-verbal input speech being labeled with the one task objective to which it is directed.

55. (Original) An automated task classification system, comprising:
a recognizer that recognizes at least one meaningful phrase in an input communication of a user; and

a task classifier that makes a classification decision in response to the recognized meaningful phrases relating to one of a set of predetermined task objectives.

Application/Control Number: 09/699,495
Art Unit: 2645

Atty. Docket No. 112233-CON-2